# BEFORE THE NEW MEXICO PUBLIC REGULATION COMMISSION

IN THE MATTER OF PUBLIC SERVICE	)
COMPANY OF NEW MEXICO'S APPLICATION	)
FOR APPROVAL OF PURCHASED POWER	)
AGREEMENT, ENERGY STORAGE	)
AGREEMENTS, AND CERTIFICATE OF PUBLIC	)
CONVENIENCE AND NECESSITY FOR SYSTEM	) Case No. 24-00271-UT
RESOURCES IN 2028,	)
PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY OF NEW MEXICO,	)
	)
Applicant.	)
	)

# **DIRECT TESTIMONY**

**OF** 

RICHARD NICHOLAS WINTERMANTEL

# NMPRC CASE NO. 24-\_\_\_\_-UT INDEX TO THE DIRECT TESTIMONY OF NICK WINTERMANTEL

# WITNESS FOR PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY OF NEW MEXICO

I.	INTRODUCTION AND PURPOSE			
II.	SYSTEM RESOURCE ADEQUACY MODELING			
III.	RELIABILITY ASSESSMENT OF PNM's 2028 RESOURCES			
IV.	CONCLUSIONS			
PNM ]	Exhibit NW – 1	Resume of Richard Nicholas Wintermantel		
	DAVIT			
AFFII	JAVII			

1		I. INTRODUCTION AND PURPOSE
2	Q.	Please state your name, business address, and place of employment.
3	A.	My name is Richard Nicholas Wintermantel, and my business address is 3000
4		Riverchase Galleria Suite 575, Hoover, Alabama, 35224. I am employed by
5		PowerGEM, LLC as Chief Services Officer.
6		
7	Q.	Please summarize your educational background and professional
8		qualifications.
9	A.	My educational background and relevant employment experience are summarized
10		in Public Service Company of New Mexico ("PNM") Exhibit RNW-1 attached to
11		my testimony.
12		
13	Q.	Have you previously testified in utility-related proceedings?
14	A.	Yes. I presented testimony before the New Mexico Public Regulation Commission
15		("NMPRC" or "Commission") on behalf of PNM in PNM's San Juan Replacement
16		Resource Filing in Case No. 19-00195-UT; PNM's Palo Verde Lease Replacement
17		Filing in Case No. 21-00083-UT; and PNM's 2026 Resource Filing in Case No.
18		23-00353-UT. I have also testified in Georgia, South Carolina, and North Carolina
19		in utility-related proceedings. These proceedings are reflected in PNM Exhibit
20		RNW-1.
21		

1	Q.	Please provide an overview of your expertise performing resource adequacy
2		and planning studies.
3	A.	Since being employed by Astrapé <sup>1</sup> (now part of PowerGEM) in 2009, I have
4		managed resource adequacy studies across the industry including target reserve
5		margin studies; Effective Load Carrying Capability ("ELCC") studies of wind,
6		solar, storage, and demand response resources; resource selection decisions; and
7		ancillary service studies for integrating renewables. I performed these studies using
8		Astrapé's proprietary Strategic Energy Risk Valuation Model ("SERVM") used by
9		utilities and system operators across the U.S. and internationally. Prior to working
10		at Astrapé, I worked in various resource planning functions with the Southern
11		Company, which included work for its operating companies as well as Southern
12		Power.
13		
14	Q.	What is the purpose of your direct testimony?
15	A.	My testimony discusses PNM's resource adequacy assessment for 2028, and I
16		present the 2028 loss of load expectation ("LOLE") for specific portfolios provided
17		by PNM for 2028 Resources selection to ensure that resource adequacy metrics are
18		met.
19		
20	Q.	Provide a brief overview of what your testimony concludes.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Astrapé was acquired by PowerGEM, LLC in April of 2024.

1	<b>A.</b>	My testimony concludes the new resource portfolio brought forward by PNM
2		resolves the resource adequacy need in 2028 and provides a reliable system as
3		measured by LOLE.
4		II. SYSTEM RESOURCE ADEQUACY MODELING
5	Q.	Please describe your role in the PNM Integrated Resource Planning and 2028
6		Resource Evaluation processes and how the SERVM model was utilized.
7	<b>A.</b>	My team was responsible for the resource adequacy analysis including Loss of
8		Load Expectation (LOLE) analysis conducted in the 2028 Resource Evaluation and
9		the 2023 Integrated Resource Plan ("IRP"), both of which were performed using
10		the SERVM model.
11		
12	Q.	Has this framework been utilized previously?
13	<b>A.</b>	Yes. The same resource adequacy framework used for the Palo Verde Lease
14		Replacement Filing and the 2026 Resource Filing was used for this 2028 resource
15		analysis.
16		
17	Q.	Please define LOLE.
18	A.	LOLE is a widely accepted metric for determining resource adequacy for electric
19		systems to represent the expected number of days in a year that load will not be met
20		given a specified resource portfolio.
21		

1	Q.	What is the LOLE standard used by PNM?		
2	A.	The metric used by PNM in its 2023 IRP was 0.1 days per year. In other words,		
3		PNM plans to build enough capacity that it would only expect to experience firm		
4		load shed events due to capacity shortages one day every 10 years. A firm load		
5		shed event occurs any time the system must reduce load on the system by turning		
6		off power because it did not have enough generation to serve customers.		
7				
8	Q.	Is PNM's standard consistent with other standards?		
9	<b>A.</b>	Yes. This standard is used by many utilities and Independent System Operators		
10		("ISOs")/Regional Transmission Operators ("RTOs"), which is referred to as the		
11		one-day-in-10-year standard. For reference, RTOs such as PJM Interconnection <sup>2</sup>		
12		and Midwest Independent System Operator (MISO) <sup>3</sup> use the one-day-in-10-year		
13		standard. Utilities such as Duke Energy <sup>4</sup> and Arizona Public Service Company <sup>5</sup>		
14		also use the same standard to ensure resource adequacy on their respective systems.		
15				
16	Q.	What specific analysis was performed by your team in the 2023 IRP?		

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> https://www.pjm.com/-/media/documents/manuals/m20a.ashx page 8

https://cdn.misoenergy.org/Resource%20Accreditation%20White%20Paper%20Version%202.1630728.pdf page 2

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> https://www.duke-energy.com/-/media/pdfs/our-company/carolinas-resource-plan/chapter-1-changingenergy-landscape.pdf?rev=88d3584893bc4287be612875fae8bea8 page 7

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> https://www.aps.com/-/media/APS/APSCOM-PDFs/About/Our-Company/Doing-business-withus/Resource-Planning-and-

Management/APS\_IRP\_2023\_PUBLIC.pdf?la=en&sc\_lang=en&hash=DF34B49033ED43FF0217FC2F93 A0BBE6 page 14

1	A.	For the 2023 IRP, my team calculated the planning reserve margin and marginal
2		ELCCs <sup>6</sup> for new solar, wind, and storage projects using LOLE analysis in SERVM.
3		The planning reserve margin is determined by calculating the reserve margin
4		required to meet the 0.1 days per year LOLE standard. ELCCs are determined by
5		understanding how much additional load a new resource such as solar, wind, or
6		storage can carry while still maintaining the 0.1 days per year LOLE standard. The
7		ELCC results provide the reliability contribution of variable generation resources
8		and energy limited resources as they are integrated into the system. As described
9		by PNM witness Duane, the planning reserve margin and ELCCs were utilized in
10		the EnCompass models for the 2028 portfolio analysis.
11		III. RELIABILITY ASSESSMENT OF PNM'S 2028 RESOURCES
12	Q.	Moving to the reliability assessment of PNM's selected 2028 portfolio, please
13		describe the resources your team modeled in SERVM.
14	A.	As PNM witness Duane discusses, PNM selected the following portfolio to fill the
15		resource adequacy requirement in 2028:
16		Preferred Portfolio
17		• 167 MW natural gas-fired generation, replacing existing PPA
18		• 300 MW of standalone battery (four-hour)
19		<ul> <li>Hybrid resource with 100 MW of solar/30 MW battery (four-hour)</li> </ul>

 $^{6}$  The Resource Adequacy Study which includes ELCC calculations can be found in Appendix M of the 2023 IRP.

1				
2	Q.	Please describe the LOLE assessment process used in the 2028 resource		
3		selection.		
4	A.	My team assessed the LOLE for the 2028 study year to ensure portfolios developed		
5		by PNM met reliability requirements. This was accomplished by taking the PNM		
6		portfolio from PNM's EnCompass modeling, including any new resources selected		
7		in the portfolio analysis, and including them in SERVM's resource adequacy		
8		framework, and assessing the resultant 2028 LOLE. The current EnCompass		
9		modeling included the most up to date load forecast and resource mix for PNM.		
10				
11	Q.	From an external market perspective, what was assumed in the reliability		
12		analysis?		
<ul><li>12</li><li>13</li></ul>	<b>A.</b>	analysis?  The 2028 RFP portfolios were modeled with a 200-300 MW import constraint in		
	Α.			
13	<b>A.</b>	The 2028 RFP portfolios were modeled with a 200-300 MW import constraint in		
13 14	<b>A.</b>	The 2028 RFP portfolios were modeled with a 200-300 MW import constraint in all hours in which hourly load was greater than or equal to 85% of the annual gross		
<ul><li>13</li><li>14</li><li>15</li></ul>	<b>A.</b>	The 2028 RFP portfolios were modeled with a 200-300 MW import constraint in all hours in which hourly load was greater than or equal to 85% of the annual gross peak load. In addition, the 2028 portfolios were modeled with a 100–150 MW		
13 14 15 16	<b>A.</b>	The 2028 RFP portfolios were modeled with a 200-300 MW import constraint in all hours in which hourly load was greater than or equal to 85% of the annual gross peak load. In addition, the 2028 portfolios were modeled with a 100–150 MW import constraint during hours 16-18 from June through August when hourly load		
13 14 15 16 17	<b>A.</b>	The 2028 RFP portfolios were modeled with a 200-300 MW import constraint in all hours in which hourly load was greater than or equal to 85% of the annual gross peak load. In addition, the 2028 portfolios were modeled with a 100–150 MW import constraint during hours 16-18 from June through August when hourly load was greater than or equal to 85% of the annual gross peak load. Lastly a 50-MW		
13 14 15 16 17 18	<b>A.</b>	The 2028 RFP portfolios were modeled with a 200-300 MW import constraint in all hours in which hourly load was greater than or equal to 85% of the annual gross peak load. In addition, the 2028 portfolios were modeled with a 100–150 MW import constraint during hours 16-18 from June through August when hourly load was greater than or equal to 85% of the annual gross peak load. Lastly a 50-MW import constraint was applied during hours 19-22 from June through August when		
13 14 15 16 17 18	<b>A.</b>	The 2028 RFP portfolios were modeled with a 200-300 MW import constraint in all hours in which hourly load was greater than or equal to 85% of the annual gross peak load. In addition, the 2028 portfolios were modeled with a 100–150 MW import constraint during hours 16-18 from June through August when hourly load was greater than or equal to 85% of the annual gross peak load. Lastly a 50-MW import constraint was applied during hours 19-22 from June through August when the hourly load was greater than or equal to 80% <sup>2</sup> of the annual gross peak load.		

### **Table NW-1 Import Constraint Summary**

	June-August	All Other Months
Hours 1-15 and 22-24*	200-300 MW	200-300 MW
Hours 16-18*	100-150 MW	200-300 MW
Hours 19-22**	50 MW	200-300 MW

<sup>\*</sup> applies only when load is  $\geq 85\%$  of annual gross load peak

2

These import constraints are the same assumptions used in the 2026 resource RFP analysis.

5

1

- 6 Q. Please provide the reliability results for the 2028 RFP Portfolio.
- PNM's Preferred Portfolio yielded a 0.064 day per year LOLE. Due to resource sizing issues, it is not expected that any specific portfolio will precisely achieve a desired 0.1 day per year LOLE metric.

10

11

12

- Q. What would the LOLE results show if these resources were not added to the system in 2028?
- 13 **A.** The LOLE would be 2.01 days per year if no resources were added to the system.

14

#### IV. CONCLUSIONS

- 15 Q. Please summarize the conclusions and recommendations in your testimony.
- 16 **A.** I conclude that the Preferred Portfolio submitted by PNM reasonably meets the reliability target of 0.1 LOLE.

18

<sup>\*\*</sup> applies only when load is  $\geq 80\%$  of annual gross load peak

- 1 Q. Does this conclude your testimony?
- 2 **A.** Yes.

GCG#533193

Resume of Richard Nicholas Wintermantel

# PNM Exhibit RNW-1

Is contained in the following 4 pages.

#### Richard Nicholas ("Nick") Wintermantel | Chief Services Officer, PowerGEM, LLC

3000 Riverchase Galleria Suite 575 Hoover, AL 35224 (205) 988-4404 nwintermantel@astrape.com

Mr. Wintermantel has over 20 years of experience in utility planning and electric market modeling, Areas of utility planning experience includes utility integrated resource planning (IRP) for vertically-integrated utilities, market price forecasting, resource adequacy modeling, RFP evaluations, environmental compliance analysis, asset management, financial risk analysis, and contract structuring. Mr. Wintermantel also has expertise in production cost simulations and evaluation methodologies used for IRPs and reliability planning. As a consultant with Astrapé Consulting, now part of PowerGEM, Mr. Wintermantel has managed reliability and planning studies for large power systems across the U.S. and internationally. Prior to joining Astrapé Consulting, Mr. Wintermantel was employed by the Southern Company where he served in various resource planning, asset management, and generation development roles.

#### **Experience**

#### Chief Services Officer at PowerGEM (May 2024 to current)

Lead a consulting team who performs resource adequacy analysis, ELCC analysis, renewable integration, expansion planning, and load flow analysis

#### Principal Consultant at Astrapé Consulting (2009 – April 2024)

Managed detailed system resource adequacy studies for large scale utilities

Managed ancillary service and renewable integration studies

Managed ELCC studies

Managed resource selection studies

Performed financial and risk analysis for utilities, developers, and manufacturers

Demand side resource evaluation

Storage evaluation

Served on IE Teams to evaluate assumptions, models, and methodologies for competitive procurement solicitations

Project Management on large scale consulting engagements

Production cost model development

Model quality assurance

Sales and customer service

#### Sr. Engineer for Southern Company Services (2007-2009)

Integrated Resource Planning and environmental compliance

Developed future retail projects for operating companies while at the Southern Company

Asset management for Southern Company Services

#### Sr. Engineer for Southern Power Company (Subsidiary of Southern Company) (2003-2007)

Structured wholesale power contracts for Combined Cycle, Coal, Simple Cycle, and IGCC Projects

Model development to forecast market prices across the eastern interconnect

Evaluate financials of new generation projects

Bid development for Resource Solicitations

#### **Cooperative Student Engineer for Southern Nuclear (2000-2003)**

Probabilistic risk assessment of the Southern Company Nuclear Fleet

#### **▲** Industry Specialization

Resource Adequacy Planning Resource Planning Integrated Resource Planning

Competitive Procurement Asset Evaluation Financial Analysis

Environmental Compliance Analysis Generation Development Capacity Value Analysis

Renewable Integration Ancillary Service Studies

#### **L** Education

MBA, University of Alabama at Birmingham – Summa Cum Laude B.S. Degree Mechanical Engineering - University of Alabama - Summa Cum Laude

#### **Relevant Experience**

#### Resource Adequacy Planning and Production Cost Modeling

**Tennessee Valley Authority:** Performed Various Reliability Planning Studies including Optimal Reserve Margin Analysis, Capacity Benefit Margin Analysis, and Demand Side Resource Evaluations using the Strategic Energy and Risk Valuation Model (SERVM) which is Astrapé Consulting's proprietary reliability planning software. Recommended a new planning target reserve margin for the TVA system and assisted in structuring new demand side option programs in 2010. Performed Production Cost and Resource Adequacy Studies in 2009, 2011, 2013, 2015 and 2017. Performed renewable integration and ancillary service work from 2015-2017.

**Southern Company Services:** Assisted in resource adequacy and capacity value studies as well as model development from 2009 - 2018.

**Louisville Gas & Electric and Kentucky Utilities:** Performed reliability studies including reserve margin analysis for its Integrated Resource Planning process.

**Duke Energy**: Performed resource adequacy studies for Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC and Duke Energy Progress, LLC in 2012 and 2016. Performed capacity value and ancillary service studies in 2018. Performed ELCC analysis in 2022, and Resource Adequacy and ELCC Analysis in 2023.

California Energy Systems for the 21st Century Project: Performed 2016 Flexibility Metrics and Standards Project. Developed new flexibility metrics such as EUE<sub>flex</sub> and LOLE<sub>flex</sub> which represent LOLE occurring due to system flexibility constraints and not capacity constraints.

Terna: Performed Resource Adequacy Study used to set demand curves in Italian Capacity Market Design.

**Pacific Gas and Electric (PG&E):** Performed flexibility requirement and ancillary service study from 2015–2017. Performed CES Study for Renewable Integration and Flexibility from 2015 – 2016.

**PNM (Public Service Company of New Mexico):** Managed resource adequacy studies and renewable integration studies and ancillary service studies from 2013 – 2024. Performed resource selection studies in

2017 and 2018. Additional IRP work from 2020 – 2024.

**GASOC:** Managed resource adequacy studies from 2015 – 2018.

**MISO:** Managed resource adequacy study in 2015 and performed ongoing seasonal resource adequacy analysis in 2020 and 2021. Provided ongoing support in regard to accreditation and LOLE studies in 2022 and 2023.

**SPP:** Managed resource adequacy study in 2017. Ongoing planned maintenance Study in 2020-2021.

**SPP:** Managed resource adequacy study in 2017. Ongoing planned maintenance Study in 2020-2021.

Santee Cooper: Managed resource adequacy, ELCC, and solar integration studies in 2022-2024.

**Dominion Energy South Carolina:** Managed resource adequacy and ELCC studies in 2022-2023.

**NWPP:** Managed resource adequacy study for the northwest power pool in 2022.

Malaysia (TNB, Sabah, Sarawak)): Performed and managed resource adequacy studies from 2015-2018 for three different Malaysian entities.

**ERCOT:** Performed economic optimal reserve margin studies in cooperation with the Brattle Group in 2014 and 2018. The report examined total system costs, generator energy margins, reliability metrics, and economics under various market structures (energy only vs. capacity markets). Completed a Reserve Margin Study requested by the PUCT, examining an array of physical reliability metrics in 2014 (See Publications: Expected Unserved Energy and Reserve Margin Implications of Various Reliability Standards). Probabilistic Risk Assessment for the North American Electric Reliability Corporation (NERC) in 2014, 2016, and 2018.

**FERC:** Performed economics of resource adequacy work in 2012-2013 in cooperation with the Brattle Group. Work included analyzing resource adequacy from regulated utility and structured market perspective.

**EPRI:** Performed research projects studying reliability impact and flexibility requirements needed with increased penetration of intermittent resources in 2013. Created Risk-Based Planning system reliability metrics framework in 2014 that is still in use today.

**Independent Evaluator Work for RFPs**: Served on independent evaluator teams for capacity RFPs in Georgia, Arizona, Oregon, and Colorado (2010-2023).

**Evergy**: Managed resource adequacy study in 2022.

**Ameren:** Managed resource adequacy, ELCC, and flexibility analysis for ongoing planning and IRP support (2019-2023).

#### **Expert Witness Testimony**

North Carolina Public Service Commission (2024): Testified on behalf of Duke Energy in regard to a Resource Adequacy and ELCC Study. Docket No. DOCKET NO. E-100, SUB 190.

Public Service Commission of South Carolina (2024): Testified on behalf of Duke Energy in regard to a Resource Adequacy and ELCC Study. Docket No. 2023-8-E and 2023-10-E.

New Mexico Public Regulation Commission (2023/2024): Testified on behalf of Public Service Company of New Mexico in regard to the evaluation and recommendation of new generation resources. Case No. 21-00353-UT.

Santee Cooper (2023): Testified on behalf of Santee Cooper (2023) in South Carolina in regard to a resource adequacy and ELCC Study. DOCKET NO.2023-154-E.

Dominion Energy South Carolina (2023): Testified on behalf of Dominion Energy South Carolina (2023) in South Carolina in regard to a resource adequacy and ELCC Study. DOCKET NO.2023-9-E.

New Mexico Public Regulation Commission (2021): Testified on behalf of Public Service Company of New Mexico in regard to the evaluation and recommendation of new generation resources. Case No. 21-00083-UT.

Public Service Commission of South Carolina (2021): Testified on behalf of Duke Energy in regard to the Resource Adequacy Study and Storage ELCC conducted by Astrapé Consulting. DOCKET NO.2019-224-E, NO.2019-225-E.

New Mexico Public Regulation Commission (2019 and 2020): Testified on behalf of Public Service Company of New Mexico in regard to the evaluation and recommendation of replacement resources for San Juan Generation Station Units 1 and 4. Case No 19-00195-UT.

Public Service Commission of South Carolina (2019): Testified on behalf of Duke Energy in regard to the Solar Integration Study Astrapé Consulting conducted for the Companies' Avoided Cost Filing. Docket No. 2019-185-E. Docket No. 2019-186-E.

North Carolina Public Service Commission (2019): Testified on behalf of Duke Energy in regard to the Solar Integration Study Astrapé Consulting conducted for the Companies' Avoided Cost Filing. Docket No. 1995-1192-E.

Georgia Public Service Commission (2014): Testified on behalf of the Commission Staff as an Independent Evaluator for the Advanced Solar Initiative RFP. Docket 38877.

### BEFORE THE NEW MEXICO PUBLIC REGULATION COMMISSION

IN THE MATTER OF PUBLIC COMPANY OF NEW MEXICO APPLICATION FOR APPROV POWER AGREEMENT, ENER AGREEMENTS, AND CERTII CONVENIENCE AND NECES RESOURCES IN 2028	) Case No. 24-00271-UT ) )	
PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY MEXICO,	) ) )	
Applicant		) ) _)
	<u>AFFIDAVIT</u>	
STATE OF NEW MEXICO	) ) ss	
COUNTY OF BERNALILLO	)	

### RICHARD NICHOLAS WINTERMANTEL, Chief Services Officer,

**PowerGEM, LLC,** upon being duly sworn according to law, under oath, deposes and states: I have read the foregoing **Direct Testimony of Richard Nicholas Wintermantel,** and it is true and accurate based on my own personal knowledge and belief.

Dated this 22<sup>nd</sup> day of November, 2024.

/s/\_Richard Nicholas Wintermantel
RICHARD NICHOLAS WINTERMANTEL